## Contract Serial Register Page RIP236

## Parcel Legal Description

| TRS | County | Bene | Type | Layer | Acres |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T12.0S R3.0 W S20 <br> Legal Description: <br> T12.0S R3.0 W S21 <br> Legal Description: | JLL | JUAB | SCH | Use | Surf |

## Comments

## 08/25/2005

10
THE FENCING PROJECT IS TO SEPARATE THE BLM LANDS FROM TRUST LANDS TO ALLOW TLA TO ADMINISTER THESE LAND AS THEY SEE IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE BENERICIARIES.

Approx 6.5 miles of fence will be constructed. Fence is 5 strands barbed, and steel post construction. BLM Fillmore is contributing 6 miles worth of
material. Two cattle guards will be installed to cross county roads.

DA approved.
The Trust Lands Administration ("TLA"), Richfield Office Staff, proposes to build a 6.75 mile, 5 -strand barbed wire boundary fence between the lands owned by TLA and the Bureau of Land Management ("BLM").

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LANDS DIRECTLY AFFECTED:

T12S, R3W, SL B\&M
Section 20: All (along north and west boundary line)
Section 21: NW¼ (along north boundary line)
Section 30: All (along north and west boundary line)
T12S, R4W, SL B\&M
Section: 36: All (along north and west boundary line)

T13S, R4W, SL B\&M
Section: 1: NW $1 / 4$ (along west boundary line)
COUNTY: Juab FUND: School

## RELEVANT FACTUAL BACKGROUND:

Since the West Desert Land Exchange, the method and responsibility of managing livestock within the Jericho Block has been in dispute between the BLM and TLA staff. As part of a negotiated agreement, a 6.75 mile fence is to be constructed between the lands managed by the two agencies.

With the construction of the boundary fence, TLA will be able to increase the AUMs by $\sim 250$ AUMs. With this improvement and with the future decision as to the northeastern BLM inholdings, TLA will be able to increase the AUMs by another $\sim 750$ and will allow TLA to charge "block fees" in 2008.

A search of TLA records was made to determine the status of the area involved. The six grazing permits of record on the affected area are GP $21014,23223,22300,61$ A, 61B, and 61D. There are also several mineral leases on record which will not be affected by the project.

A BLM archaeologist cleared the site and the TLA staff archaeologist has indicated that it is okay to proceed.
The Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, Central Region, expressed concern about antelope and the design of the fence having the bottom strand so low. The concerns were taken into consideration, but due to the need to control young lambs the bottom strand cannot be higher. The fence will not block any known migratory routes or water sources.

The cost of the project will be split between the BLM and the TLA. The BLM will supply 6 miles worth of fencing material, approximately $\$ 15,000.00$ in value. TLA will construct the fence, supply material for 0.75 miles of fence, and supply two cattle guards, totaling $\sim \$ 33,000$.

## EVALUATION OF THE FACTS:

The proposed range improvement is not located on land affected by (a) or (b) of R850-50-1100(5). The proposed treatment does enhance the value of the range for domestic livestock to R850-50-1100(5)(c).

